v.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the

District of United States of America

ERICH VAUGHN EBERT	) Case No. MJ24-3293
Defendant	)
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eligibility for Detention	
Upon the	
<ul><li>☑ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant</li><li>☑ Motion of the Government or Court's own management</li></ul>	- ' ' ' '
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), is	s warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law	as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
and the community because the following conditions h  (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term  (b) an offense for which the maximum term  Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § § (21 U.S.C. § § 951-971), or Chapter 705 or  (d) any felony if such person has been cord (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two ordescribed in subparagraphs (a) through (c) jurisdiction had existed, or a combination	tions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person ave been met: lowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or intence is life imprisonment or death; or of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act f Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or excited of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal of such offenses; or
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	e of violence but involves: firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
· /	d of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
committed while the defendant was on release partial (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed.	e for which the defendant has been convicted was bending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> osed since the date of conviction, or the release of the escribed in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant	
committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;	S
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	of
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearin the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	g,
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearin	_
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearin the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	_
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearin the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearin the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  \[ \textstyle \text{By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  \[ \textstyle \text{By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  \[ \textstyle \text{Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong} \]  \[ \text{Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted} \]  \[ \text{Prior criminal history} \]	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearin the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearin the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment	_
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearin the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment   Lack of stable residence	_
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse  Lack of stable employment	

## 

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
Significant family or other ties outside the United States	
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States	
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration	
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered	
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement	
Use of alias(es) or false documents	
Background information unknown or unverified	
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release	
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:	
The parties acknowledged the Defendant was suffering from an acute mental health crisis at the time of the alleged offense(s). Though not raising competency concerns, the parties could not diagnose his mental condition and there was no indication that the crisis has passed. Moreover, USPTS did not perform a site visit to confirm that the Defendant's family members (and potential third party custodian) did not posess or have access to firearms. This lack of verification is particularly concerning given the unique circumstances of this case and how readily these family members acquired and possessed the Defendant's ammunition on the Defendant's behalf. The Court cannot be confident that these same family members will take appropriate precautionary steps to absolutely prevent the Defendant from accessing firearms, ammunition and/or explosive devices.	
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention	
raitiv - Directions Regarding Detention	
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	

United States Magistrate Judge

9/24/2024

Date: